



PUBLIC TRANSPARENCY REPORT

2024

PORTZAMPARC GESTION

Generated 25-11-2024

About this report

PRI reporting is the largest global reporting project on responsible investment. It was developed with investors, for investors.

PRI signatories are required to report publicly on their responsible investment activities each year. In turn, they receive a number of outputs, including a public and private Transparency Report.

The public Transparency Reports, which are produced using signatories' reported information, provide accountability and support signatories to have internal discussions about their practices and to discuss these with their clients, beneficiaries, and other stakeholders.

This public Transparency Report is an export of the signatory's responses to the PRI Reporting Framework during the 2024 reporting period. It includes the signatory's responses to core indicators, as well as responses to plus indicators that the signatory has agreed to make public.

In response to signatory feedback, the PRI has not summarised signatories' responses – the information in this document is presented exactly as it was reported.

For each of the indicators in this document, all options selected by the signatory are presented, including links and qualitative responses. In some indicators, all applicable options are included for additional context.

Disclaimers

Legal Context

PRI recognises that the laws and regulations to which signatories are subject differ by jurisdiction. We do not seek or require any signatory to take an action that is not in compliance with applicable laws. All signatory responses should therefore be understood to be subject to and informed by the legal and regulatory context in which the signatory operates.

Responsible investment definitions

Within the PRI Reporting Framework Glossary, we provide definitions for key terms to guide reporting on responsible investment practices in the Reporting Framework. These definitions may differ from those used or proposed by other authorities and regulatory bodies due to evolving industry perspectives and changing legislative landscapes. Users of this report should be aware of these variations, as they may impact interpretations of the information provided.

Data accuracy

This document presents information reported directly by signatories in the 2024 reporting cycle. This information has not been audited by the PRI or any other party acting on its behalf. While this information is believed to be reliable, no representations or warranties are made as to the accuracy of the information presented. The PRI has taken reasonable action to ensure that data submitted by signatories in the reporting tool is reflected in their official PRI reports accurately. However, it is possible that small data inaccuracies and/or gaps remain, and the PRI shall not be responsible or liable for such inaccuracies and gaps.

Table of Contents

Module	Page
SENIOR LEADERSHIP STATEMENT (SLS)	4
ORGANISATIONAL OVERVIEW (OO)	6
POLICY, GOVERNANCE AND STRATEGY (PGS)	23
MANAGER SELECTION, APPOINTMENT AND MONITORING (SAM)	50
LISTED EQUITY (LE)	53
CONFIDENCE-BUILDING MEASURES (CBM)	61

SENIOR LEADERSHIP STATEMENT (SLS)

SENIOR LEADERSHIP STATEMENT

SENIOR LEADERSHIP STATEMENT

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
SLS 1	CORE	N/A	N/A	PUBLIC	Senior Leadership Statement	GENERAL

Section 1. Our commitment

- Why does your organisation engage in responsible investment?
- What is your organisation's overall approach to responsible investment, and what major responsible investment commitment(s) have you made?

Pour Portzamparc Gestion, les pratiques durables doivent être au cœur de nos activités de gestion. Nous nous y efforçons au quotidien en intégrant la recherche extra-financière à l'analyse fondamentale et quantitative des valeurs. Nous souhaitons être acteurs du changement : à la fois pour nos clients, leurs bénéficiaires mais aussi pour la société dans son ensemble.

Parce qu'investir est notre métier et notre expertise au quotidien, nous choisissons :

- d'exprimer de manière transparente notre approche de l'investissement durable au travers d'engagements précis,
- de soutenir une transition environnementale juste, moteur d'une croissance durable.
- d'investir sur le long terme, en engageant un dialogue avec les sociétés pour promouvoir les meilleures pratiques,
- De sensibiliser nos parties prenantes sur le rôle que peut et doit jouer la finance dans la transition vers une économie durable.

Afin d'adopter une démarche reconnue et validée, l'intégralité de notre gamme d'OPCVM est labellisée ISR depuis 2022.

Section 2. Annual overview

- Discuss your organisation's progress during the reporting year on the responsible investment issue you consider most relevant or material to your organisation or its assets.
- Reflect on your performance with respect to your organisation's responsible investment objectives and targets during the reporting year. Details might include, for example, outlining your single most important achievement or describing your general progress on topics such as the following (where applicable):
 - refinement of ESG analysis and incorporation
 - stewardship activities with investees and/or with policymakers
 - collaborative engagements
 - attainment of responsible investment certifications and/or awards

Les fonds Portzamparc PME ISR & Portzamparc Entrepreneurs ISR ont obtenu le Label ISR en décembre 2020. Les fonds Portzamparc Opportunités ISR et Portzamparc Europe PME ISR ont obtenu le Label ISR en décembre 2022. Les 4 fonds de la gamme Portzamparc Gestion sont catégorie 1 AMF et article 8 au sens de la réglementation SFDR.

Portzamparc Gestion a créé un poste d'analyste ESG en 2022 au sein de son équipe de gestion. L'analyste travaille en étroite collaboration avec les gérants, le développement commercial et la conformité.

Son rôle est de veiller à la bonne intégration des analyses ESG et au suivi des indicateurs de performance Environnementaux, Sociaux, de Gouvernance et des droits humains. L'analyste travaille de concert avec la gestion pour engager un dialogue constructif avec les sociétés détenues en portefeuille, tant sur leurs bonnes pratiques que leurs axes d'amélioration. Ce dialogue a aussi pour but de mieux connaître ces sociétés et leur stratégie, et d'obtenir d'elles des engagements d'amélioration lorsque ces dernières « pèchent » sur certains aspects des critères E, S ou G. Enfin, l'analyste s'assure du bon niveau de transparence de la société de gestion au travers de la publication de rapports extra-financiers et d'engagement actionnarial.

Notre démarche de labélisation ISR s'inscrit dans cette dynamique d'exigence et de transparence vis-à-vis de notre activité.

Engagement par le vote : passage de 50 % à 80 % des participations aux assemblées générales avec déploiement de la nouvelle politique de vote intégrant des critères extra-financiers.

60 % de l'équipe de gestion de Portzamparc Gestion détient le CFA ESG, une qualification internationale en investissement ESG délivrée par le CFA Institute.

Ce chiffre va passer à 100% en 2025.

100 % des collaborateurs de Portzamparc Gestion ont également pu participer à la fresque du climat et la fresque de la biodiversité. Ces fresques se fondent sur les données issues des rapports scientifiques du GIEC et de l'IPBES. Elles encouragent la diffusion rapide et à grande échelle d'une compréhension partagée des enjeux climatiques et des pressions exercées sur la biodiversité, ainsi que de l'impact de nos économies.

Avec la mise en application du référentiel V3 du label ISR, nos équipes travaillent dès aujourd'hui à l'alignement de nos pratiques (horizon visé 2025).

En 2024, nous lançons aussi un nouveau fonds action PME/ETI article 9 avec une stratégie de recherche d'impact. L'objectif de ce fonds est la décarbonation de l'économie.

Section 3. Next steps

- What specific steps has your organisation outlined to advance your commitment to responsible investment in the next two years?

Obtention de 100 % de l'équipe de gestion de la certification CFA ESG en 2024 et 2025

Section 4. Endorsement

'The Senior Leadership Statement has been prepared and/or reviewed by the undersigned and reflects our organisation-wide commitment and approach to responsible investment'.

Name

Clémentine de Butler

Position

Responsable ESG

Organisation's Name

PORTZAMPARC GESTION

● A

'This endorsement applies only to the Senior Leadership Statement and should not be considered an endorsement of the information reported by the above-mentioned organisation in the various modules of the Reporting Framework. The Senior Leadership Statement serves as a general overview of the above-mentioned organisation's responsible investment approach. The Senior Leadership Statement does not constitute advice and should not be relied upon as such. Further, it is not a substitute for the skill, judgement and experience of any third parties, their management, employees, advisors and/or clients when making investment and other business decisions'.

○ B

ORGANISATIONAL OVERVIEW (OO)

ORGANISATIONAL INFORMATION

REPORTING YEAR

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
OO 1	CORE	N/A	N/A	PUBLIC	Reporting year	GENERAL

What is the year-end date of the 12-month period you have chosen to report for PRI reporting purposes?

	Date	Month	Year
Year-end date of the 12-month period for PRI reporting purposes:	31	12	2023

SUBSIDIARY INFORMATION

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
OO 2	CORE	N/A	OO 2.1	PUBLIC	Subsidiary information	GENERAL

Does your organisation have subsidiaries?

- ☐ (A) Yes
- ☒ (B) No

ASSETS UNDER MANAGEMENT

ALL ASSET CLASSES

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
OO 4	CORE	OO 3	N/A	PUBLIC	All asset classes	GENERAL

What are your total assets under management (AUM) at the end of the reporting year, as indicated in [OO 1]?

USD

(A) AUM of your organisation, including subsidiaries, and excluding the AUM subject to execution, advisory, custody, or research advisory only

US\$ 190,382,456.00

(B) AUM of subsidiaries that are PRI signatories in their own right and excluded from this submission, as indicated in [OO 2.2]

US\$ 0.00

(C) AUM subject to execution, advisory, custody, or research advisory only

US\$ 107,189,150.00

Additional information on the exchange rate used: (Voluntary)

We used the July 2024 USD/EUR exchange rate of the IMF.

ASSET BREAKDOWN

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
OO 5	CORE	OO 3	Multiple indicators	PUBLIC	Asset breakdown	GENERAL

Provide a percentage breakdown of your total AUM at the end of the reporting year as indicated in [OO 1].

	(1) Percentage of Internally managed AUM	(2) Percentage of Externally managed AUM
(A) Listed equity	>50-75%	>10-50%
(B) Fixed income	>0-10%	>10-50%
(C) Private equity	0%	0%
(D) Real estate	0%	0%
(E) Infrastructure	0%	0%
(F) Hedge funds	>0-10%	>0-10%
(G) Forestry	0%	0%
(H) Farmland	0%	0%
(I) Other	>0-10%	0%
(J) Off-balance sheet	0%	0%

(I) Other - (1) Percentage of Internally managed AUM - Specify:

Cash and patrimonial funds

ASSET BREAKDOWN: EXTERNALLY MANAGED ASSETS

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
OO 5.1	CORE	OO 5	Multiple	PUBLIC	Asset breakdown: Externally managed assets	GENERAL

Provide a further breakdown of your organisation's externally managed listed equity and/or fixed income AUM.

	(1) Listed equity	(2) Fixed income - SSA	(3) Fixed income - corporate	(4) Fixed income - securitised	(5) Fixed income - private debt
(A) Active	>75%	0%	>75%	0%	0%
(B) Passive	0%	0%	0%		

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
OO 5.2	CORE	OO 5, OO 5.1	SAM 3, SAM 8	PUBLIC	Asset breakdown: Externally managed assets	GENERAL

Provide a breakdown of your organisation's externally managed AUM between segregated mandates and pooled funds or investments.

	(1) Segregated mandate(s)	(2) Pooled fund(s) or pooled investment(s)
(A) Listed equity - active	0%	>75%
(C) Fixed income - active	0%	>75%
(H) Hedge funds	0%	>75%

ASSET BREAKDOWN: INTERNALLY MANAGED LISTED EQUITY

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
OO 5.3 LE	CORE	OO 5	Multiple	PUBLIC	Asset breakdown: Internally managed listed equity	GENERAL

Provide a further breakdown of your internally managed listed equity AUM.

(A) Passive equity 0%

(B) Active – quantitative 0%

(C) Active – fundamental >75%

(D) Other strategies 0%

ASSET BREAKDOWN: INTERNALLY MANAGED FIXED INCOME

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
OO 5.3 FI	CORE	OO 5	Multiple	PUBLIC	Asset breakdown: Internally managed fixed income	GENERAL

Provide a further breakdown of your internally managed fixed income AUM.

(A) Passive – SSA 0%

(B) Passive – corporate 0%

(C) Active – SSA 0%

(D) Active – corporate >75%

(E) Securitised 0%

(F) Private debt 0%

ASSET BREAKDOWN: INTERNALLY MANAGED HEDGE FUND

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
OO 5.3 HF	CORE	OO 5	OO 11	PUBLIC	Asset breakdown: Internally managed hedge fund	GENERAL

Provide a further breakdown of your internally managed hedge fund assets.

(A) Multi-strategy 0%

(B) Long/short equity 0%

(C) Long/short credit >75%

(D) Distressed, special situations
and event-driven fundamental 0%

(E) Structured credit 0%

(F) Global macro 0%

(G) Commodity trading advisor 0%

(H) Other strategies 0%

MANAGEMENT BY PRI SIGNATORIES

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
OO 6	CORE	OO 5	N/A	PUBLIC	Management by PRI signatories	GENERAL

What percentage of your organisation's externally managed assets are managed by PRI signatories?

>75%

GEOGRAPHICAL BREAKDOWN

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
OO 7	CORE	Multiple, see guidance	N/A	PUBLIC	Geographical breakdown	GENERAL

How much of your AUM in each asset class is invested in emerging markets and developing economies?

AUM in Emerging Markets and Developing Economies

(A) Listed equity	(1) 0%
(C) Fixed income – corporate	(3) >10 to 20%
(I) Hedge funds	(2) >0 to 10%

STEWARDSHIP

STEWARDSHIP

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
OO 8	CORE	Multiple, see guidance	Multiple indicators	PUBLIC	Stewardship	GENERAL

Does your organisation conduct stewardship activities, excluding (proxy) voting, for any of your assets?

	(1) Listed equity - active	(3) Fixed income - active	(8) Hedge funds	(11) Other
(A) Yes, through internal staff	☒	☐	☐	☐
(B) Yes, through service providers	☐	☐	☐	☐
(C) Yes, through external managers	☐	☐	☐	☐
(D) We do not conduct stewardship	☐	☒	☒	☒

STEWARDSHIP: (PROXY) VOTING

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
OO 9 HF	CORE	OO 5	OO 9	PUBLIC	Stewardship: (Proxy) voting	GENERAL

Does your organisation have direct investments in listed equity across your hedge fund strategies?

- ☐ (A) Yes
- ☒ (B) No

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
OO 9	CORE	Multiple, see guidance	Multiple indicators	PUBLIC	Stewardship: (Proxy) voting	GENERAL

Does your organisation conduct (proxy) voting activities for any of your listed equity holdings?

(1) Listed equity - active

(A) Yes, through internal staff	☒
(B) Yes, through service providers	☒
(C) Yes, through external managers	☐
(D) We do not conduct (proxy) voting	☐

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
OO 9.1	CORE	OO 9	PGS 10.1, PGS 31	PUBLIC	Stewardship: (Proxy) voting	GENERAL

For each asset class, on what percentage of your listed equity holdings do you have the discretion to vote?

Percentage of your listed equity holdings over which you have the discretion to vote

(A) Listed equity – active	(11) >90 to <100%
----------------------------	-------------------

STEWARDSHIP NOT CONDUCTED

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
OO 10	CORE	OO 8, OO 9	N/A	PUBLIC	Stewardship not conducted	2

Describe why your organisation does not currently conduct stewardship and/or (proxy) voting.

Stewardship, excluding (proxy) voting
(C) Fixed income – active

Les encours Fixed Income sont gérés par des managers externes en charge de l'organisation des votes.

(H) Hedge funds

La gestion alternative comptabilisée dans les encours globaux de Portzamparc Gestion est gérée par des managers externes qui ont en charge l'organisation des votes

(K) Other

Le cash ne donne pas lieu à des activités de vote ou engagement actionnarial.

Les fonds patrimoniaux sont gérés par des managers externes en charge de l'organisation des votes.

ESG INCORPORATION

INTERNALLY MANAGED ASSETS

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
OO 11	CORE	Multiple, see guidance	Multiple indicators	PUBLIC	Internally managed assets	1

For each internally managed asset class, does your organisation incorporate ESG factors, to some extent, into your investment decisions?

	(1) Yes, we incorporate ESG factors into our investment decisions	(2) No, we do not incorporate ESG factors into our investment decisions
(C) Listed equity - active - fundamental	☒	☐
(F) Fixed income - corporate	☒	☐
(N) Hedge funds - Long/short credit	☒	☐

(V) Other: Cash and patrimonial funds



EXTERNAL MANAGER SELECTION

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
OO 12	CORE	OO 5, OO 5.1	Multiple indicators	PUBLIC	External manager selection	1

For each externally managed asset class, does your organisation incorporate ESG factors, to some extent, when selecting external investment managers?

(1) Yes, we incorporate ESG factors when selecting external investment managers

(2) No, we do not incorporate ESG factors when selecting external investment managers

(A) Listed equity - active



(C) Fixed income - active



(H) Hedge funds



EXTERNAL MANAGER APPOINTMENT

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
OO 13	CORE	OO 5, OO 5.1	Multiple indicators	PUBLIC	External manager appointment	1

For each externally managed asset class, does your organisation incorporate ESG factors, to some extent, when appointing external investment managers?

(1) Yes, we incorporate ESG factors when appointing external investment managers

(2) No, we do not incorporate ESG factors when appointing external investment managers

(A) Listed equity - active



(C) Fixed income - active



(H) Hedge funds



EXTERNAL MANAGER MONITORING

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
OO 14	CORE	OO 5, OO 5.1	Multiple indicators	PUBLIC	External manager monitoring	1

For each externally managed asset class, does your organisation incorporate ESG factors, to some extent, when monitoring external investment managers?

	(1) Yes, we incorporate ESG factors when monitoring external investment managers	(2) No, we do not incorporate ESG factors when monitoring external investment managers
(A) Listed equity - active	☐	☒
(C) Fixed income - active	☐	☒
(H) Hedge funds	☐	☒

ESG IN OTHER ASSET CLASSES

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
OO 15	CORE	OO 11, OO 12–14	N/A	PUBLIC	ESG in other asset classes	1

Describe how your organisation incorporates ESG factors into the following asset classes.

Internally managed
(C) Other

OPC integrate ESG factors into investment decisions.
Fund managers can be selected only if they are PRI signatories

ESG NOT INCORPORATED

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
OO 16	CORE	OO 11, OO 12–14	N/A	PUBLIC	ESG not incorporated	1

Describe why your organisation does not currently incorporate ESG factors into your investment decisions and/or in the selection, appointment and/or monitoring of external investment managers.

Externally managed
(P) Listed equity – active

Sur la sélection de fonds actions, la société doit être signataire des PRI. Notre approche est également de sélectionner des fonds article 8 ou article 9 au sens de la réglementation SFDR.

(R) Fixed income – active

Sur la sélection de fonds obligataires, la société doit être signataire des PRI. Notre approche est également de sélectionner des fonds article 8 ou article 9 au sens de la réglementation SFDR.

(W) Hedge funds

De manière générale, la part représentative des fonds alternatives est faible sur les encours globaux (2.5 % en 2023)

ESG STRATEGIES

LISTED EQUITY

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
OO 17 LE	CORE	OO 11	OO 17.1 LE, LE 12	PUBLIC	Listed equity	1

Which ESG incorporation approach and/or combination of approaches does your organisation apply to your internally managed active listed equity?

Percentage out of total internally managed active listed equity

(A) Screening alone	0%
(B) Thematic alone	0%
(C) Integration alone	0%
(D) Screening and integration	>75%
(E) Thematic and integration	0%

(F) Screening and thematic	0%
(G) All three approaches combined	0%
(H) None	0%

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
OO 17.1 LE	CORE	OO 17 LE	LE 9	PUBLIC	Listed equity	1

What type of screening does your organisation use for your internally managed active listed equity assets where a screening approach is applied?

Percentage coverage out of your total listed equity assets where a screening approach is applied

(A) Positive/best-in-class screening only	0%
(B) Negative screening only	0%
(C) A combination of screening approaches	>75%

FIXED INCOME

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
OO 17 FI	CORE	OO 5.3 FI, OO 11	Multiple, see guidance	PUBLIC	Fixed income	1

Which ESG incorporation approach and/or combination of approaches does your organisation apply to your internally managed active fixed income?

(2) Fixed income - corporate

(A) Screening alone	>75%
(B) Thematic alone	0%
(C) Integration alone	0%

(D) Screening and integration	0%
(E) Thematic and integration	0%
(F) Screening and thematic	0%
(G) All three approaches combined	0%
(H) None	0%

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
OO 17.1 FI	CORE	OO 17 FI	N/A	PUBLIC	Fixed income	1

What type of screening does your organisation use for your internally managed active fixed income where a screening approach is applied?

(2) Fixed income - corporate

(A) Positive/best-in-class screening only	0%
(B) Negative screening only	>75%
(C) A combination of screening approaches	0%

ESG/SUSTAINABILITY FUNDS AND PRODUCTS

LABELLING AND MARKETING

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
OO 18	CORE	OO 11–14	OO 18.1	PUBLIC	Labelling and marketing	1

Do you explicitly market any of your products and/or funds as ESG and/or sustainable?

☒ **(A) Yes, we market products and/or funds as ESG and/or sustainable**

Provide the percentage of AUM that your ESG and/or sustainability-marketed products or funds represent:

>10-50%

- ☐ (B) No, we do not offer products or funds explicitly marketed as ESG and/or sustainable
- ☐ (C) Not applicable; we do not offer products or funds

Additional information: (Voluntary)

This AuM represent our labelled funds.

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
OO 18.1	CORE	OO 18	OO 18.2	PUBLIC	Labelling and marketing	1

Do any of your ESG and/or sustainability-marketed products and/or funds hold formal ESG and/or RI certification(s) or label(s) awarded by a third party?

☒ **(A) Yes, our ESG and/or sustainability-marketed products and/or funds hold formal labels or certifications**

Provide the percentage of AUM that your labelled and/or certified products and/or funds represent:

>10-50%

☐ (B) No, our ESG and/or sustainability-marketed products and/or funds do not hold formal labels or certifications

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
OO 18.2	CORE	OO 18.1	N/A	PUBLIC	Labelling and marketing	1

Which ESG/RI certifications or labels do you hold?

- ☐ (A) Commodity type label (e.g. BCI)
- ☐ (B) GRESB
- ☐ (C) Austrian Ecolabel (UZ49)
- ☐ (D) B Corporation
- ☐ (E) BREEAM
- ☐ (F) CBI Climate Bonds Standard
- ☐ (G) DDV-Nachhaltigkeitskodex-ESG-Strategie
- ☐ (H) DDV-Nachhaltigkeitskodex-ESG-Impact
- ☐ (I) EU Ecolabel
- ☐ (J) EU Green Bond Standard
- ☐ (K) Febelfin label (Belgium)
- ☐ (L) Finansol
- ☐ (M) FNG-Siegel Ecolabel (Germany, Austria and Switzerland)
- ☐ (N) Greenfin label (France)
- ☐ (O) Grüner Pfandbrief
- ☐ (P) ICMA Green Bond Principles
- ☐ (Q) ICMA Social Bonds Principles
- ☐ (R) ICMA Sustainability Bonds Principles
- ☐ (S) ICMA Sustainability-linked Bonds Principles
- ☐ (T) Kein Verstoß gegen Atomwaffensperrvertrag
- ☒ **(U) Le label ISR (French government SRI label)**
- ☐ (V) Luxflag Climate Finance
- ☐ (W) Luxflag Environment
- ☐ (X) Luxflag ESG
- ☐ (Y) Luxflag Green Bond
- ☐ (Z) Luxflag Microfinance
- ☐ (AA) Luxflag Sustainable Insurance Products
- ☐ (AB) National stewardship code
- ☐ (AC) Nordic Swan Ecolabel

- ☐ (AD) Other SRI label based on EUROSIF SRI Transparency Code (e.g. Novethic)
- ☐ (AE) People's Bank of China green bond guidelines
- ☐ (AF) RIAA (Australia)
- ☐ (AG) Towards Sustainability label (Belgium)
- ☐ (AH) Other

SUMMARY OF REPORTING REQUIREMENTS

SUMMARY OF REPORTING REQUIREMENTS

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
OO 21	CORE	Multiple indicators	Multiple indicators	PUBLIC	Summary of reporting requirements	GENERAL

The following table shows which modules are mandatory or voluntary to report on in the separate PRI asset class modules. Where a module is voluntary, indicate if you wish to report on it.

Applicable modules	(1) Mandatory to report (pre-filled based on previous responses)	(2.1) Voluntary to report. Yes, I want to opt-in to reporting on the module	(2.2) Voluntary to report. No, I want to opt-out of reporting on the module
Policy, Governance and Strategy	☒	☐	☐
Confidence Building Measures	☒	☐	☐
(C) Listed equity – active – fundamental	☒	☐	☐
(F) Fixed income – corporate	☐	☐	☒
(N) Hedge funds – Long/short credit	☐	☐	☒
(T) External manager selection, appointment and monitoring (SAM) – listed equity - active	☒	☐	☐
(V) External manager selection, appointment and monitoring (SAM) – fixed income - active	☒	☐	☐
(AA) External manager selection, appointment and monitoring (SAM) – hedge funds	☐	☐	☒

SUBMISSION INFORMATION

REPORT DISCLOSURE

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
OO 32	CORE	OO 3, OO 31	N/A	PUBLIC	Report disclosure	GENERAL

How would you like to disclose the detailed percentage figures you reported throughout the Reporting Framework?

- ☐ (A) Publish as absolute numbers
- ☒ (B) Publish as ranges

POLICY, GOVERNANCE AND STRATEGY (PGS)

POLICY

RESPONSIBLE INVESTMENT POLICY ELEMENTS

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 1	CORE	OO 8, OO 9	Multiple indicators	PUBLIC	Responsible investment policy elements	1, 2

Which elements are covered in your formal responsible investment policy(ies)?

- ☒ (A) Overall approach to responsible investment
- ☒ (B) Guidelines on environmental factors
- ☒ (C) Guidelines on social factors
- ☒ (D) Guidelines on governance factors
- ☐ (E) Guidelines on sustainability outcomes
- ☐ (F) Guidelines tailored to the specific asset class(es) we hold
- ☒ (G) Guidelines on exclusions
- ☐ (H) Guidelines on managing conflicts of interest related to responsible investment
- ☒ (I) Stewardship: Guidelines on engagement with investees
- ☒ (J) Stewardship: Guidelines on overall political engagement
- ☐ (K) Stewardship: Guidelines on engagement with other key stakeholders
- ☒ (L) Stewardship: Guidelines on (proxy) voting
- ☐ (M) Other responsible investment elements not listed here
 - ☐ (N) Our organisation does not have a formal responsible investment policy and/or our policy(ies) do not cover any responsible investment elements

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 2	CORE	PGS 1	Multiple, see guidance	PUBLIC	Responsible investment policy elements	1

Does your formal responsible investment policy(ies) include specific guidelines on systematic sustainability issues?

- ☒ (A) Specific guidelines on climate change (may be part of guidelines on environmental factors)
- ☒ (B) Specific guidelines on human rights (may be part of guidelines on social factors)
- ☒ (C) Specific guidelines on other systematic sustainability issues

Specify:

Guidelines on governance factors
- ☐ (D) Our formal responsible investment policy(ies) does not include guidelines on systematic sustainability issues

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 3	CORE	PGS 1, PGS 2	N/A	PUBLIC	Responsible investment policy elements	6

Which elements of your formal responsible investment policy(ies) are publicly available?

☒ **(A) Overall approach to responsible investment**

Add link:

<https://www.portzamparcgestion.fr/content/dam/gedoc/portzamparc-gestion/documetns/engagement-responsable-/Demarche-investisseurs-responsables-Portzamparc-Gestion.pdf>

☒ **(B) Guidelines on environmental factors**

Add link:

<https://www.portzamparcgestion.fr/content/dam/gedoc/portzamparc-gestion/documetns/engagement-responsable-/Code-de-transparence-Fonds-Portzamparc.pdf>

☒ **(C) Guidelines on social factors**

Add link:

<https://www.portzamparcgestion.fr/content/dam/gedoc/portzamparc-gestion/documetns/engagement-responsable-/Code-de-transparence-Fonds-Portzamparc.pdf>

☒ **(D) Guidelines on governance factors**

Add link:

<https://www.portzamparcgestion.fr/content/dam/gedoc/portzamparc-gestion/documetns/engagement-responsable-/Code-de-transparence-Fonds-Portzamparc.pdf>

☐ (F) Specific guidelines on climate change (may be part of guidelines on environmental factors)

☐ (G) Specific guidelines on human rights (may be part of guidelines on social factors)

☐ (H) Specific guidelines on other systematic sustainability issues

☒ **(J) Guidelines on exclusions**

Add link:

<https://www.portzamparcgestion.fr/content/dam/gedoc/portzamparc-gestion/documetns/engagement-responsable-/Code-de-transparence-Fonds-Portzamparc.pdf>

☐ (L) Stewardship: Guidelines on engagement with investees

☒ **(M) Stewardship: Guidelines on overall political engagement**

Add link:

https://www.portzamparcgestion.fr/content/dam/gedoc/portzamparc-gestion/documetns/engagement-responsable-/2023_10%20Politique_d_engagement%20PPG.pdf

☒ **(O) Stewardship: Guidelines on (proxy) voting**

Add link:

https://www.portzamparcgestion.fr/content/dam/gedoc/portzamparc-gestion/documetns/engagement-responsable-/2023_10%20Politique_d_engagement%20PPG.pdf

☐ (Q) No elements of our formal responsible investment policy(ies) are publicly available

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 4	PLUS	PGS 1	N/A	PUBLIC	Responsible investment policy elements	1 – 6

Does your formal responsible investment policy(ies) identify a link between your responsible investment activities and your fiduciary duties or equivalent obligations?

☒ (A) Yes

Elaborate:

Yes, our responsible investment policy states that long term value generated for our investors comes from E,S or G factors integration.

☐ (B) No

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 5	CORE	PGS 1	N/A	PUBLIC	Responsible investment policy elements	2

Which elements are covered in your organisation's policy(ies) or guidelines on stewardship?

☒ (A) Overall stewardship objectives

☒ (B) Prioritisation of specific ESG factors to be advanced via stewardship activities

☒ (C) Criteria used by our organisation to prioritise the investees, policy makers, key stakeholders, or other entities on which to focus our stewardship efforts

☐ (D) How different stewardship tools and activities are used across the organisation

☐ (E) Approach to escalation in stewardship

☐ (F) Approach to collaboration in stewardship

☐ (G) Conflicts of interest related to stewardship

☒ (H) How stewardship efforts and results are communicated across the organisation to feed into investment decision-making and vice versa

☐ (I) Other

☐ (J) None of the above elements is captured in our policy(ies) or guidelines on stewardship

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 6	CORE	PGS 1	N/A	PUBLIC	Responsible investment policy elements	2

Does your policy on (proxy) voting include voting principles and/or guidelines on specific ESG factors?

☒ (A) Yes, it includes voting principles and/or guidelines on specific environmental factors

☒ (B) Yes, it includes voting principles and/or guidelines on specific social factors

☒ (C) Yes, it includes voting principles and/or guidelines on specific governance factors

☐ (D) Our policy on (proxy) voting does not include voting principles or guidelines on specific ESG factors

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 7	CORE	OO 9	N/A	PUBLIC	Responsible investment policy elements	2

Does your organisation have a policy that states how (proxy) voting is addressed in your securities lending programme?

- (A) We have a publicly available policy to address (proxy) voting in our securities lending programme
- (B) We have a policy to address (proxy) voting in our securities lending programme, but it is not publicly available
- (C) We rely on the policy of our external service provider(s)
- (D) We do not have a policy to address (proxy) voting in our securities lending programme
- (E) Not applicable; we do not have a securities lending programme

RESPONSIBLE INVESTMENT POLICY COVERAGE

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 8	CORE	PGS 1	N/A	PUBLIC	Responsible investment policy coverage	1

What percentage of your total AUM is covered by the below elements of your responsible investment policy(ies)?

Combined AUM coverage of all policy elements

- | | |
|---|-----------------|
| (A) Overall approach to responsible investment
(B) Guidelines on environmental factors
(C) Guidelines on social factors
(D) Guidelines on governance factors | (4) >70% to 80% |
|---|-----------------|

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 9	CORE	PGS 2	N/A	PUBLIC	Responsible investment policy coverage	1

What proportion of your AUM is covered by your formal policies or guidelines on climate change, human rights, or other systematic sustainability issues?

AUM coverage

(A) Specific guidelines on climate change

(3) for a minority of our AUM

(B) Specific guidelines on human rights

(3) for a minority of our AUM

(C) Specific guidelines on other systematic sustainability issues

(3) for a minority of our AUM

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 10	CORE	OO 8, OO 9, PGS 1	N/A	PUBLIC	Responsible investment policy coverage	2

Per asset class, what percentage of your AUM is covered by your policy(ies) or guidelines on stewardship with investees?

☒ (A) Listed equity

(1) Percentage of AUM covered

- ☐ (1) >0% to 10%
- ☐ (2) >10% to 20%
- ☐ (3) >20% to 30%
- ☐ (4) >30% to 40%
- ☐ (5) >40% to 50%
- ☒ (6) >50% to 60%
- ☐ (7) >60% to 70%
- ☐ (8) >70% to 80%
- ☐ (9) >80% to 90%
- ☐ (10) >90% to <100%
- ☐ (11) 100%

(2) If your AUM coverage is below 100%, explain why: (Voluntary)

Our policy on stewardship covers our OPC funds with active fundamental management, labelled under the SRI label.

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 10.1	CORE	OO 9.1, PGS 1	N/A	PUBLIC	Responsible investment policy coverage	2

What percentage of your listed equity holdings is covered by your guidelines on (proxy) voting?

☒ **(A) Actively managed listed equity**

(1) Percentage of your listed equity holdings over which you have the discretion to vote

- ☐ (1) >0% to 10%
- ☐ (2) >10% to 20%
- ☐ (3) >20% to 30%
- ☐ (4) >30% to 40%
- ☐ (5) >40% to 50%
- ☐ (6) >50% to 60%
- ☐ (7) >60% to 70%
- ☒ **(8) >70% to 80%**
- ☐ (9) >80% to 90%
- ☐ (10) >90% to <100%
- ☐ (11) 100%

(2) If your AUM coverage is below 100%, explain why: (Voluntary)

Our policy on stewardship covers our OPC funds with active fundamental management, labelled under the SRI label.

GOVERNANCE

ROLES AND RESPONSIBILITIES

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 11	CORE	N/A	Multiple indicators	PUBLIC	Roles and responsibilities	1

Which senior level body(ies) or role(s) in your organisation have formal oversight over and accountability for responsible investment?

☐ (A) Board members, trustees, or equivalent

☒ **(B) Senior executive-level staff, or equivalent**

Specify:

responsable conformité et contrôle interne et la direction générale

☐ (C) Investment committee, or equivalent

☐ (D) Head of department, or equivalent

☐ (E) None of the above bodies and roles have oversight over and accountability for responsible investment

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 11.1	CORE	PGS 1, PGS 2, PGS 11	N/A	PUBLIC	Roles and responsibilities	1, 2

Does your organisation's senior level body(ies) or role(s) have formal oversight over and accountability for the elements covered in your responsible investment policy(ies)?

(2) Senior executive-level staff, investment committee, head of department, or equivalent

(A) Overall approach to responsible investment	☒
(B) Guidelines on environmental, social and/or governance factors	☒
(D) Specific guidelines on climate change (may be part of guidelines on environmental factors)	☒
(E) Specific guidelines on human rights (may be part of guidelines on social factors)	☒
(F) Specific guidelines on other systematic sustainability issues	☒
(H) Guidelines on exclusions	☒
(J) Stewardship: Guidelines on engagement with investees	☒
(K) Stewardship: Guidelines on overall political engagement	☒
(M) Stewardship: Guidelines on (proxy) voting	☒
(N) This role has no formal oversight over and accountability for any of the above elements covered in our responsible investment policy(ies)	☐

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 11.2	CORE	N/A	N/A	PUBLIC	Roles and responsibilities	1 – 6

Does your organisation have governance processes or structures to ensure that your overall political engagement is aligned with your commitment to the principles of PRI, including any political engagement conducted by third parties on your behalf?

- ☐ (A) Yes
- ☐ (B) No
- ☒ (C) Not applicable, our organisation does not conduct any form of political engagement directly or through any third parties

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 12	CORE	N/A	N/A	PUBLIC	Roles and responsibilities	1

In your organisation, which internal or external roles are responsible for implementing your approach to responsible investment?

☒ (A) Internal role(s)

Specify:

There is a dedicated ESG manager for Portzamparc Gestion in charge of the ESG strategy of the funds, in collaboration with the board, the risk and control head and the investment team. She is more specifically working on stewardship, reporting, Regulatory monitoring, data integration, relationship with the BNPP Group.

- ☐ (B) External investment managers, service providers, or other external partners or suppliers
- ☐ (C) We do not have any internal or external roles with responsibility for implementing responsible investment

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 14	CORE	PGS 11	N/A	PUBLIC	Roles and responsibilities	1

Does your organisation use responsible investment KPIs to evaluate the performance of your senior executive-level staff (or equivalent), and are these KPIs linked to compensation?

- ☐ (A) Yes, we use responsible investment KPIs to evaluate the performance of our senior executive-level staff (or equivalent)
- ☒ (B) No, we do not use responsible investment KPIs to evaluate the performance of our senior executive-level staff (or equivalent)

Explain why: (Voluntary)

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 15	PLUS	PGS 11	N/A	PUBLIC	Roles and responsibilities	1

What responsible investment competencies do you regularly include in the training of senior-level body(ies) or role(s) in your organisation?

(2) Senior executive-level staff, investment committee, head of department or equivalent

(A) Specific competence in climate change mitigation and adaptation



(B) Specific competence in investors' responsibility to respect human rights



(C) Specific competence in other systematic sustainability issues



(D) The regular training of this senior leadership role does not include any of the above responsible investment competencies



EXTERNAL REPORTING AND DISCLOSURES

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 16	CORE	N/A	N/A	PUBLIC	External reporting and disclosures	6

What elements are included in your regular reporting to clients and/or beneficiaries for the majority of your AUM?

- ☒ (A) Any changes in policies related to responsible investment
- ☒ (B) Any changes in governance or oversight related to responsible investment
- ☒ (C) Stewardship-related commitments
- ☒ (D) Progress towards stewardship-related commitments
- ☒ (E) Climate-related commitments
- ☒ (F) Progress towards climate-related commitments
- ☒ (G) Human rights-related commitments
- ☒ (H) Progress towards human rights-related commitments
- ☐ (I) Commitments to other systematic sustainability issues
- ☐ (J) Progress towards commitments on other systematic sustainability issues
- ☐ (K) We do not include any of these elements in our regular reporting to clients and/or beneficiaries for the majority of our AUM

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 17	CORE	N/A	N/A	PUBLIC	External reporting and disclosures	6

During the reporting year, did your organisation publicly disclose climate-related information in line with the Task Force on Climate-Related Financial Disclosures' (TCFD) recommendations?

- ☐ (A) Yes, including all governance-related recommended disclosures
- ☐ (B) Yes, including all strategy-related recommended disclosures
- ☐ (C) Yes, including all risk management-related recommended disclosures
- ☐ (D) Yes, including all applicable metrics and targets-related recommended disclosures
- ☒ (E) **None of the above**
Explain why: (Voluntary)

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 18	PLUS	N/A	N/A	PUBLIC	External reporting and disclosures	6

During the reporting year, to which international responsible investment standards, frameworks, or regulations did your organisation report?

- ☒ (A) **Disclosures against the European Union's Sustainable Finance Disclosure Regulation (SFDR)**
Link to example of public disclosures

<https://www.portzamparcgestion.fr/content/dam/gedoc/portzamparc-gestion/documetns/engagement-responsable-/Rapport%20LEC%2029%202023%20Portzamparc%20Gestion.pdf>

- ☒ (B) **Disclosures against the European Union's Taxonomy**
Link to example of public disclosures

<https://www.portzamparcgestion.fr/content/dam/gedoc/portzamparc-gestion/documetns/engagement-responsable-/Rapport%20LEC%2029%202023%20Portzamparc%20Gestion.pdf>

- ☐ (C) Disclosures against the CFA's ESG Disclosures Standard
- ☐ (D) Disclosures against other international standards, frameworks or regulations
- ☐ (E) Disclosures against other international standards, frameworks or regulations
- ☐ (F) Disclosures against other international standards, frameworks or regulations
- ☐ (G) Disclosures against other international standards, frameworks or regulations

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 19	CORE	N/A	N/A	PUBLIC	External reporting and disclosures	6

During the reporting year, did your organisation publicly disclose its membership in and support for trade associations, think tanks or similar bodies that conduct any form of political engagement?

- (A) Yes, we publicly disclosed our membership in and support for trade associations, think tanks, or similar bodies that conduct any form of political engagement
- (B) No, we did not publicly disclose our membership in and support for trade associations, think tanks, or similar bodies that conduct any form of political engagement
- (C) **Not applicable, we were not members in or supporters of any trade associations, think tanks, or similar bodies that conduct any form of political engagement during the reporting year**

STRATEGY

CAPITAL ALLOCATION

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 20	CORE	N/A	N/A	PUBLIC	Capital allocation	1

Which elements do your organisation-level exclusions cover?

- ☒ (A) **Exclusions based on our organisation's values or beliefs regarding particular sectors, products or services**
- ☒ (B) **Exclusions based on our organisation's values or beliefs regarding particular regions or countries**
- ☒ (C) **Exclusions based on minimum standards of business practice aligned with international norms such as the OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises, the International Bill of Human Rights, UN Security Council sanctions or the UN Global Compact**
- ☒ (D) **Exclusions based on our organisation's climate change commitments**
- ☐ (E) Other elements
- (F) Not applicable; our organisation does not have any organisation-level exclusions

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 21	CORE	N/A	N/A	PUBLIC	Capital allocation	1

How does your responsible investment approach influence your strategic asset allocation process?

- ☐ (A) We incorporate ESG factors into our assessment of expected asset class risks and returns
- ☐ (B) We incorporate climate change–related risks and opportunities into our assessment of expected asset class risks and returns
- ☐ (C) We incorporate human rights–related risks and opportunities into our assessment of expected asset class risks and returns
- ☐ (D) We incorporate risks and opportunities related to other systematic sustainability issues into our assessment of expected asset class risks and returns

- (E) We do not incorporate ESG factors, climate change, human rights or other systematic sustainability issues into our assessment of expected asset class risks and returns

● (F) Not applicable; we do not have a strategic asset allocation process

STEWARDSHIP: OVERALL STEWARDSHIP STRATEGY

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 22	CORE	OO 8, OO 9	N/A	PUBLIC	Stewardship: Overall stewardship strategy	2

For the majority of AUM within each asset class, which of the following best describes your primary stewardship objective?

(1) Listed equity

(A) Maximise our portfolio-level risk-adjusted returns. In doing so, we seek to address any risks to overall portfolio performance caused by individual investees' contribution to systematic sustainability issues.

○

(B) Maximise our individual investments' risk-adjusted returns. In doing so, we do not seek to address any risks to overall portfolio performance caused by individual investees' contribution to systematic sustainability issues.

●

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 23	PLUS	OO 5, OO 8, OO 9	N/A	PUBLIC	Stewardship: Overall stewardship strategy	2

How does your organisation, or the external service providers or external managers acting on your behalf, prioritise the investees or other entities on which to focus its stewardship efforts?

We prioritise investees based on their ESG rating (those representing the highest risk level). We also have a particular focus on decarbonisation solutions, and long term relationship with small caps.

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 24	CORE	OO 8, OO 9	N/A	PUBLIC	Stewardship: Overall stewardship strategy	2

Which of the following best describes your organisation's default position, or the position of the external service providers or external managers acting on your behalf, concerning collaborative stewardship efforts?

- ☒ (A) We recognise the value of collective action, and as a result, we prioritise collaborative stewardship efforts wherever possible
- ☐ (B) We collaborate on a case-by-case basis
- ☐ (C) Other
- ☐ (D) We do not join collaborative stewardship efforts

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 24.1	PLUS	OO 8, OO 9	N/A	PUBLIC	Stewardship: Overall stewardship strategy	2

Elaborate on your organisation's default position on collaborative stewardship, or the position of the external service providers or external investment managers acting on your behalf, including any other details on your overall approach to collaboration.

Voter aux assemblées générales annuelles constitue un élément important du dialogue avec les entreprises dans lesquelles nous investissons pour le compte de nos clients et fait partie intégrante des processus de gestion de Portzamparc Gestion. Nos politiques et lignes directrices de vote sont revues annuellement afin de prendre en compte l'évolution des codes de gouvernance et des pratiques de marché. Pour une meilleure prise de décision dans nos votes, nous prenons appui auprès des équipes du Sustainability Center de BNP Paribas Asset Management

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 25	PLUS	OO 5, OO 8, OO 9	N/A	PUBLIC	Stewardship: Overall stewardship strategy	2

Rank the channels that are most important for your organisation in achieving its stewardship objectives.

- ☒ (A) Internal resources, e.g. stewardship team, investment team, ESG team, or staff
 - Select from the list:
 - ☒ 1
 - ☐ 2
 - ☐ 3
 - ☐ 4
 - ☐ 5
- ☐ (B) External investment managers, third-party operators and/or external property managers, if applicable
- ☐ (C) External paid specialist stewardship services (e.g. engagement overlay services or, in private markets, sustainability consultants) excluding investment managers, real assets third-party operators, or external property managers
- ☐ (D) Informal or unstructured collaborations with investors or other entities

- ☐ (E) Formal collaborative engagements, e.g. PRI-coordinated collaborative engagements, Climate Action 100+, or similar
- ☐ (F) We do not use any of these channels

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 27	PLUS	OO 8, OO 9	N/A	PUBLIC	Stewardship: Overall stewardship strategy	2

How are your organisation's stewardship activities linked to your investment decision making, and vice versa?

In particular on small cap companies, our dialogue is key to understand issuers's profile and strategy and therefore does impact directly our investment decisions.

STEWARDSHIP: (PROXY) VOTING

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 29	CORE	OO 9, PGS 1	N/A	PUBLIC	Stewardship: (Proxy) voting	2

When you use external service providers to give recommendations, how do you ensure those recommendations are consistent with your organisation's (proxy) voting policy?

- ☐ (A) Before voting is executed, we review external service providers' voting recommendations for controversial and high-profile votes
- ☐ (B) Before voting is executed, we review external service providers' voting recommendations where the application of our voting policy is unclear
- ☐ (C) We ensure consistency with our voting policy by reviewing external service providers' voting recommendations only after voting has been executed
- ☐ (D) We do not review external service providers' voting recommendations
- ☒ (E) Not applicable; we do not use external service providers to give voting recommendations

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 30	CORE	OO 9	N/A	PUBLIC	Stewardship: (Proxy) voting	2

How is voting addressed in your securities lending programme?

- ☐ (A) We recall all securities for voting on all ballot items
- ☐ (B) When a vote is deemed important according to pre-established criteria (e.g. high stake in the company), we recall all our securities for voting
- ☐ (C) Other
- ☐ (D) We do not recall our securities for voting purposes
- ☒ (E) Not applicable; we do not have a securities lending programme

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 31	CORE	OO 9.1	N/A	PUBLIC	Stewardship: (Proxy) voting	2

For the majority of votes cast over which you have discretion to vote, which of the following best describes your decision making approach regarding shareholder resolutions (or that of your external service provider(s) if decision making is delegated to them)?

- ☒ (A) We vote in favour of resolutions expected to advance progress on our stewardship priorities, including affirming a company's good practice or prior commitment
- ☐ (B) We vote in favour of resolutions expected to advance progress on our stewardship priorities, but only if the investee company has not already publicly committed to the action(s) requested in the proposal
- ☐ (C) We vote in favour of shareholder resolutions only as an escalation measure
- ☐ (D) We vote in favour of the investee company management's recommendations by default
- ☐ (E) Not applicable; we do not vote on shareholder resolutions

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 32	CORE	OO 9	N/A	PUBLIC	Stewardship: (Proxy) voting	2

During the reporting year, how did your organisation, or your external service provider(s), pre-declare voting intentions prior to voting in annual general meetings (AGMs) or extraordinary general meetings (EGMs)?

- ☐ (A) We pre-declared our voting intentions publicly through the PRI's vote declaration system on the Resolution Database
- ☒ (B) We pre-declared our voting intentions publicly by other means, e.g. through our website

Add link(s) to public disclosure:

<https://www.portzamparcgestion.fr/content/dam/gedoc/portzamparc-gestion/documetns/engagement-responsible-/Portzamparc%20Gestion%20-%20Rapport%20d%27engagement%202023.pdf>

- ☐ (C) We privately communicated our voting decision to investee companies prior to the AGM/EGM
- ☐ (D) We did not privately or publicly communicate our voting intentions prior to the AGM/EGM
- ☐ (E) Not applicable; we did not cast any (proxy) votes during the reporting year

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 33	CORE	OO 9	PGS 33.1	PUBLIC	Stewardship: (Proxy) voting	2

After voting has taken place, do you publicly disclose your (proxy) voting decisions or those made on your behalf by your external service provider(s), company by company and in a central source?

- ☐ (A) Yes, for all (proxy) votes
- ☐ (B) Yes, for the majority of (proxy) votes
- ☐ (C) Yes, for a minority of (proxy) votes
- ☒ (D) No, we do not publicly report our (proxy) voting decisions company-by-company and in a central source

Explain why:

Nous publions un bilan des votes sur l'ensemble de nos participations et non entreprise par entreprise par souci de clarté pour l'investisseur

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 34	CORE	OO 9	N/A	PUBLIC	Stewardship: (Proxy) voting	2

After voting has taken place, did your organisation, and/or the external service provider(s) acting on your behalf, communicate the rationale for your voting decisions during the reporting year?

	(1) In cases where we abstained or voted against management recommendations	(2) In cases where we voted against an ESG-related shareholder resolution
(A) Yes, we publicly disclosed the rationale	(3) for a minority of votes	(3) for a minority of votes
(B) Yes, we privately communicated the rationale to the company	(3) for a minority of votes	(3) for a minority of votes
(C) We did not publicly or privately communicate the rationale, or we did not track this information	○	○
(D) Not applicable; we did not abstain or vote against management recommendations or ESG-related shareholder resolutions during the reporting year	○	○

(A) Yes, we publicly disclosed the rationale - Add link(s):

<https://www.portzamparcgestion.fr/content/dam/gedoc/portzamparc-gestion/documetns/engagement-responsable-/Portzamparc%20Gestion%20-%20Rapport%20d%27engagement%202023.pdf>
https://www.portzamparcgestion.fr/content/dam/gedoc/portzamparc-gestion/documetns/engagement-responsable-/2023_10%20Politique_d_engagement%20PPG.pdf

STEWARDSHIP: ESCALATION

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 36	CORE	OO 8, OO 9 HF, OO 9	N/A	PUBLIC	Stewardship: Escalation	2

For your listed equity holdings, what escalation measures did your organisation, or the external investment managers or service providers acting on your behalf, use in the past three years?

(1) Listed equity

(A) Joining or broadening an existing collaborative engagement or creating a new one

☐

(B) Filing, co-filing, and/or submitting a shareholder resolution or proposal

☐

(C) Publicly engaging the entity, e.g. signing an open letter

☐

(D) Voting against the re-election of one or more board directors

☒

(E) Voting against the chair of the board of directors, or equivalent, e.g. lead independent director

☒

(F) Divesting

☒

(G) Litigation

☐

(H) Other

☐

(I) In the past three years, we did not use any of the above escalation measures for our listed equity holdings

☐

STEWARDSHIP: ENGAGEMENT WITH POLICY MAKERS

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 39	CORE	OO 8, OO 9	PGS 39.1, PGS 39.2	PUBLIC	Stewardship: Engagement with policy makers	2

Did your organisation, or the external investment managers or service providers acting on your behalf, engage with policy makers as part of your responsible investment approach during the reporting year?

- ☐ (A) Yes, we engaged with policy makers directly
- ☐ (B) Yes, we engaged with policy makers through the leadership of or active participation in working groups or collaborative initiatives, including via the PRI
- ☐ (C) Yes, we were members of, supported, or were in another way affiliated with third party organisations, including trade associations and non-profit organisations, that engage with policy makers, excluding the PRI
- ☒ (D) **We did not engage with policy makers directly or indirectly during the reporting year beyond our membership in the PRI**

Explain why: (Voluntary)

STEWARDSHIP: EXAMPLES

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 40	PLUS	OO 8, OO 9	N/A	PUBLIC	Stewardship: Examples	2

Provide examples of stewardship activities that you conducted individually or collaboratively during the reporting year that contributed to desired changes in the investees, policy makers or other entities with which you interacted.

- (A) Example 1:
Title of stewardship activity:
- (1) Led by
- ☒ (1) **Internally led**
 - ☐ (2) External service provider led
 - ☐ (3) Led by an external investment manager, real assets third-party operator and/or external property manager
- (2) Primary focus of stewardship activity
- ☐ (1) Environmental factors
 - ☐ (2) Social factors
 - ☐ (3) Governance factors
- (3) Asset class(es)
- ☐ (1) Listed equity
 - ☐ (2) Fixed income
 - ☐ (3) Private equity
 - ☐ (4) Real estate
 - ☐ (5) Infrastructure
 - ☐ (6) Hedge funds
 - ☐ (7) Forestry
 - ☐ (8) Farmland
 - ☐ (9) Other
- (4) Description of the activity and what was achieved. For collaborative activities, provide detail on your individual contribution.
- (B) Example 2:

Title of stewardship activity:

- (1) Led by
- (1) Internally led
 - (2) External service provider led
 - (3) Led by an external investment manager, real assets third-party operator and/or external property manager
- (2) Primary focus of stewardship activity
- ☐ (1) Environmental factors
 - ☐ (2) Social factors
 - ☐ (3) Governance factors
- (3) Asset class(es)
- ☐ (1) Listed equity
 - ☐ (2) Fixed income
 - ☐ (3) Private equity
 - ☐ (4) Real estate
 - ☐ (5) Infrastructure
 - ☐ (6) Hedge funds
 - ☐ (7) Forestry
 - ☐ (8) Farmland
 - ☐ (9) Other
- (4) Description of the activity and what was achieved. For collaborative activities, provide detail on your individual contribution.

(C) Example 3:

Title of stewardship activity:

- (1) Led by
- (1) Internally led
 - (2) External service provider led
 - (3) Led by an external investment manager, real assets third-party operator and/or external property manager
- (2) Primary focus of stewardship activity
- ☐ (1) Environmental factors
 - ☐ (2) Social factors
 - ☐ (3) Governance factors
- (3) Asset class(es)
- ☐ (1) Listed equity
 - ☐ (2) Fixed income
 - ☐ (3) Private equity
 - ☐ (4) Real estate
 - ☐ (5) Infrastructure
 - ☐ (6) Hedge funds
 - ☐ (7) Forestry
 - ☐ (8) Farmland
 - ☐ (9) Other
- (4) Description of the activity and what was achieved. For collaborative activities, provide detail on your individual contribution.

(D) Example 4:

Title of stewardship activity:

- (1) Led by
- (1) Internally led
 - (2) External service provider led
 - (3) Led by an external investment manager, real assets third-party operator and/or external property manager
- (2) Primary focus of stewardship activity
- ☐ (1) Environmental factors
 - ☐ (2) Social factors
 - ☐ (3) Governance factors
- (3) Asset class(es)
- ☐ (1) Listed equity
 - ☐ (2) Fixed income
 - ☐ (3) Private equity
 - ☐ (4) Real estate
 - ☐ (5) Infrastructure
 - ☐ (6) Hedge funds

- ☐ (7) Forestry
- ☐ (8) Farmland
- ☐ (9) Other

(4) Description of the activity and what was achieved. For collaborative activities, provide detail on your individual contribution.

(E) Example 5:

Title of stewardship activity:

(1) Led by

- ☐ (1) Internally led
- ☐ (2) External service provider led
- ☐ (3) Led by an external investment manager, real assets third-party operator and/or external property manager

(2) Primary focus of stewardship activity

- ☐ (1) Environmental factors
- ☐ (2) Social factors
- ☐ (3) Governance factors

(3) Asset class(es)

- ☐ (1) Listed equity
- ☐ (2) Fixed income
- ☐ (3) Private equity
- ☐ (4) Real estate
- ☐ (5) Infrastructure
- ☐ (6) Hedge funds
- ☐ (7) Forestry
- ☐ (8) Farmland
- ☐ (9) Other

(4) Description of the activity and what was achieved. For collaborative activities, provide detail on your individual contribution.

CLIMATE CHANGE

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 41	CORE	N/A	PGS 41.1	PUBLIC	Climate change	General

Has your organisation identified climate-related risks and opportunities affecting your investments?

☒ **(A) Yes, within our standard planning horizon**

Specify the risks and opportunities identified and your relevant standard planning horizon:

-Risques de transition

Afin de limiter le risque de transition sur l'ensemble de nos portefeuilles, nous avons mis en place les actions suivantes :

Une approche sectorielle spécifique : grâce à son expérience et à son expertise, nous (BNP Paribas Asset Management + Portzamparc Gestion) intégrons pleinement les enjeux climatiques au sein de chacune de leurs analyses sectorielles. En effet, la notation intègre par exemple la quantité de carbone émise par les émetteurs (et donc leur capacité à la mesurer), l'existence et la solidité d'un programme de réduction de ces émissions ou encore la capacité de l'entreprise à développer et commercialiser des biens et services durables et donc moins sujets au risque de transition ou de réglementation.

Ainsi, les notations ESG qui en ressortent sont le reflet de la prise en considération du risque de transition

Cette information et ces études sont à disposition des gérants ce qui leur permet d'intégrer ces risques dans leur décision d'investissement.

La mise en place d'une politique « charbon » :

- Portzamparc Gestion exclut les entreprises qui génèrent plus de 10 % de leurs revenus de l'extraction du charbon thermique et/ou qui représentent 1% ou plus de la production mondiale. Cette limite de production mondiale permet d'identifier les entreprises dont le chiffre d'affaires lié au charbon est inférieur à 10 %, mais qui sont néanmoins responsables d'une part significative de la production totale de charbon thermique.

- Les producteurs d'électricité ayant une intensité carbone supérieure à la moyenne mondiale de 2017, soit 491gCO₂/kWh, seront également exclus.

D'ici 2025, en ligne avec l'Accord de Paris et se basant sur le

scénario SDS (Sustainable Development Scenario) de l'Agence Internationale de l'Energie (AIE), cette limite

sera progressivement réduite à 327 g CO₂/kWh. • Les producteurs d'électricité qui développent de nouvelles capacités de production d'électricité produite

à partir du charbon.

- Tout producteur d'électricité qui aurait encore une part de capacité énergétique produite à partir du

charbon : à partir de 2030 pour les entreprises de l'Union Européenne et des pays de l'OCDE, à partir de

2040 dans le reste du monde.

.-Risques physiques

Notre groupe d'appartenance BNP Paribas a récemment requis les services d'un fournisseur de données

spécialisé dans l'évaluation du risque physique.

Il nous fournira un score que nous intégrerons directement dans notre modèle de notation ESG.

Les scores de risque physique comprennent trois composantes clés :

- Risque opérationnel,
- Risque d'approvisionnement,
- Risque de marché.

Chaque dimension du risque est notée sur une échelle de 0 à 100. Les scores sont normalisés de manière que le risque climatique des entreprises puisse être comparé à travers différents portefeuilles

.-Risques de responsabilité

Intégration du risque de responsabilité dans le modèle de notation ESG : le risque de responsabilité fait partie intégrante de notre méthodologie de notation ESG.

Nous sommes en mesure d'évaluer cet aspect à la fois de

manière quantitative et qualitative. Nous avons des contacts privilégiés avec les émetteurs dans lesquels nous investissons pour recueillir des informations qualitatives, ce qui nous permet de garantir l'exactitude des informations utilisées lors des analyses. En créant un cadre analytique solide autour de la recherche ESG, nous sommes en mesure de porter un jugement indépendant sur les engagements des entreprises en matière d'exposition aux différents risques climatiques et ainsi d'évaluer les niveaux de responsabilité auxquels les émetteurs sont confrontés.

L'ajustement qualitatif de la notation ESG prend en compte une analyse prospective basée sur la stratégie, la vision et les ambitions d'une entreprise.

Cette analyse ESG est enrichie par plusieurs sources de recherches externes.

Identification des controverses : Le risque de responsabilité est également intégré grâce à un outil de suivi des controverses de BNP Paribas Asset Management. Ainsi, toutes les entreprises sont surveillées via un flux d'information permanent provenant de sources pertinentes (brokers, données publiques, médias, etc ...)

Plus une entreprise est confrontée à un nombre important de controverses, plus le risque de réputation pour nos fonds est important.

Toutes les controverses sont classées du niveau 1 (la moins grave) au niveau 5 (la plus importante). La classification prend en compte l'impact de l'incident (gravité et nombre), le niveau de récurrence, la responsabilité et le caractère exceptionnel, la réponse et la gestion par l'entreprise. Ces controverses sont reflétées dans la notation de l'émetteur.

- ☐ (B) Yes, beyond our standard planning horizon
- ☐ (C) No, we have not identified climate-related risks and/or opportunities affecting our investments

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 41.1	CORE	PGS 41	N/A	PUBLIC	Climate change	General

Does your organisation integrate climate-related risks and opportunities affecting your investments in its overall investment strategy, financial planning and (if relevant) products?

☒ **(A) Yes, our overall investment strategy, financial planning and (if relevant) products integrate climate-related risks and opportunities**

Describe how climate-related risks and opportunities have affected or are expected to affect your investment strategy, financial planning and (if relevant) products:

les risques climatiques et environnementaux sont des facteurs de risques qui viennent potentiellement impacter les catégories de risques auxquelles il est généralement exposé, comme les risques de crédit, de marché ou les risques opérationnels.

Dans le cadre de la recherche climatique, BNP Paribas Asset Management a mis en place différentes actions afin d'intégrer ces enjeux au sein de nos processus d'investissement. Les analystes ESG ont adaptés ces actions en fonction de la nature du risque (risque de transition et risque physique). L'intégration de ces risques est encore en cours dans la plupart des équipes d'investissement de BNP Paribas Asset Management ainsi que celle de Portzamparc Gestion :

- Dans le cadre de la recherche climatique, BNP Paribas Asset Management a mis en place différentes actions afin d'intégrer ces enjeux au sein de nos processus d'investissement.

Les analystes ESG ont adaptés ces actions en fonction de la nature du risque (risque de transition et risque physique). L'intégration de ces risques est encore en cours dans la plupart des équipes d'investissement de BNP Paribas Asset Management ainsi que celle de Portzamparc Gestion. dont le Risques de transition

- La mise en place d'une politique d'exclusion « charbon »
- Calcul et publication de l'empreinte carbone
- Intégration du changement climatique dans notre politique de vote

<https://www.portzamparcgestion.fr/wp-content/uploads/Rapport-LEC-29-VF.pdf>.

- ☐ (B) No, our organisation has not yet integrated climate-related risks and opportunities into its investment strategy, financial planning and (if relevant) products

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 42	PLUS	N/A	N/A	PUBLIC	Climate change	General

Which sectors are covered by your organisation's strategy addressing high-emitting sectors?

☒ **(A) Coal**

Describe your strategy:

Portzamparc Gestion exclut les entreprises qui tirent plus de 10 % de leurs revenus de l'extraction de charbon thermique ou qui sont responsables de 1 % ou plus de la production mondiale de charbon thermique.

Nous excluons les producteurs d'électricité dont l'intensité carbone est supérieure à la moyenne mondiale de 2017. Ce faisant, Portzamparc Gestion suit la trajectoire définie dans le Scénario de Développement Durable de l'Agence Internationale de l'Energie pour se conformer aux objectifs de l'Accord de Paris.

☒ **(B) Gas**

Describe your strategy:

Les entreprises qui possèdent ou exploitent des pipelines ou des terminaux d'exportation de GNL acheminant un volume important de pétrole et de gaz non conventionnels.

☒ **(C) Oil**

Describe your strategy:

Les entreprises qui sont impliquées dans l'exploration, la production ou le commerce du pétrole et du gaz non conventionnel, ou qui en tirent une partie importante de leurs réserves ou de leurs revenus ;

☐ (D) Utilities

☐ (E) Cement

☐ (F) Steel

☐ (G) Aviation

☐ (H) Heavy duty road

☐ (I) Light duty road

☐ (J) Shipping

☐ (K) Aluminium

☒ **(L) Agriculture, forestry, fishery**

Describe your strategy:

Portzamparc Gestion et le groupe BNP Paribas soutiennent le développement de pratiques agricoles durables.

Notre politique est conforme aux directives de l'OCDE pour des chaînes d'approvisionnement agricoles responsables

☐ (M) Chemicals

☐ (N) Construction and buildings

☐ (O) Textile and leather

☐ (P) Water

☐ (Q) Other

☐ (R) We do not have a strategy addressing high-emitting sectors

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 43	CORE	N/A	N/A	PUBLIC	Climate change	General

Has your organisation assessed the resilience of its investment strategy in different climate scenarios, including one in which the average temperature rise is held to below 2 degrees Celsius (preferably to 1.5 degrees Celsius) above pre-industrial levels?

☐ (A) Yes, using the Inevitable Policy Response Forecast Policy Scenario (FPS) or Required Policy Scenario (RPS)

☐ (B) Yes, using the One Earth Climate Model scenario

☐ (C) Yes, using the International Energy Agency (IEA) Net Zero scenario

☐ (D) Yes, using other scenarios

☒ **(E) No, we have not assessed the resilience of our investment strategy in different climate scenarios, including one that holds temperature rise to below 2 degrees**

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 44	CORE	N/A	N/A	PUBLIC	Climate change	General

Does your organisation have a process to identify, assess, and manage the climate-related risks (potentially) affecting your investments?

- ☐ (A) Yes, we have a process to identify and assess climate-related risks
- ☐ (B) Yes, we have a process to manage climate-related risks
- ☒ (C) No, we do not have any processes to identify, assess, or manage the climate-related risks affecting our investments
- Explain why: (Voluntary)

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 45	CORE	N/A	N/A	PUBLIC	Climate change	General

During the reporting year, which of the following climate risk metrics or variables affecting your investments did your organisation use and publicly disclose?

- ☐ (A) Exposure to physical risk
- ☐ (B) Exposure to transition risk
- ☐ (C) Internal carbon price
- ☒ (D) Total carbon emissions
- (1) Indicate whether this metric or variable was used and disclosed, including the methodology
- ☐ (1) Metric or variable used
 - ☒ (2) Metric or variable used and disclosed
 - ☐ (3) Metric or variable used and disclosed, including methodology
- (2) Provide link to the disclosed metric or variable, including the methodology followed, as applicable

<https://www.portzamparcgestion.fr/content/dam/gedoc/portzamparc-gestion/documetns/engagement-responsible-/Rapport%20LEC%2029%202023%20Portzamparc%20Gestion.pdf>

- ☒ (E) Weighted average carbon intensity
- (1) Indicate whether this metric or variable was used and disclosed, including the methodology
- ☐ (1) Metric or variable used
 - ☒ (2) Metric or variable used and disclosed
 - ☐ (3) Metric or variable used and disclosed, including methodology
- (2) Provide link to the disclosed metric or variable, including the methodology followed, as applicable

<https://www.portzamparcgestion.fr/content/dam/gedoc/portzamparc-gestion/documetns/engagement-responsible-/Rapport%20LEC%2029%202023%20Portzamparc%20Gestion.pdf>

- ☐ (F) Avoided emissions
- ☐ (G) Implied Temperature Rise (ITR)
- ☐ (H) Non-ITR measure of portfolio alignment with UNFCCC Paris Agreement goals
- ☐ (I) Proportion of assets or other business activities aligned with climate-related opportunities
- ☒ (J) Other metrics or variables

Specify:

<https://www.portzamparcgestion.fr/content/dam/gedoc/portzamparc-gestion/documetns/engagement-responsible-/Rapport%20LEC%2029%202023%20Portzamparc%20Gestion.pdf>

- (1) Indicate whether this metric or variable was used and disclosed, including the methodology
- ☐ (1) Metric or variable used
 - ☒ (2) Metric or variable used and disclosed

- (3) Metric or variable used and disclosed, including methodology
- (2) Provide link to the disclosed metric or variable, including the methodology followed, as applicable

<https://www.portzamparcgestion.fr/content/dam/gedoc/portzamparc-gestion/documetns/engagement-responsible-/Rapport%20LEC%2029%202023%20Portzamparc%20Gestion.pdf>

- (K) Our organisation did not use or publicly disclose any climate risk metrics or variables affecting our investments during the reporting year

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
-----------	-------------------	--------------	------------	------------	------------	---------------

PGS 46	CORE	N/A	N/A	PUBLIC	Climate change	General
--------	------	-----	-----	--------	----------------	---------

During the reporting year, did your organisation publicly disclose its Scope 1, Scope 2, and/or Scope 3 greenhouse gas emissions?

☒ **(A) Scope 1 emissions**

- (1) Indicate whether this metric was disclosed, including the methodology

☒ **(1) Metric disclosed**

- (2) Metric and methodology disclosed

- (2) Provide links to the disclosed metric and methodology, as applicable

<https://www.portzamparcgestion.fr/content/dam/gedoc/portzamparc-gestion/documetns/engagement-responsible-/Rapport%20LEC%2029%202023%20Portzamparc%20Gestion.pdf>

☒ **(B) Scope 2 emissions**

- (1) Indicate whether this metric was disclosed, including the methodology

☒ **(1) Metric disclosed**

- (2) Metric and methodology disclosed

- (2) Provide links to the disclosed metric and methodology, as applicable

<https://www.portzamparcgestion.fr/content/dam/gedoc/portzamparc-gestion/documetns/engagement-responsible-/Rapport%20LEC%2029%202023%20Portzamparc%20Gestion.pdf>

☒ **(C) Scope 3 emissions (including financed emissions)**

- (1) Indicate whether this metric was disclosed, including the methodology

☒ **(1) Metric disclosed**

- (2) Metric and methodology disclosed

- (2) Provide links to the disclosed metric and methodology, as applicable

<https://www.portzamparcgestion.fr/content/dam/gedoc/portzamparc-gestion/documetns/engagement-responsible-/Rapport%20LEC%2029%202023%20Portzamparc%20Gestion.pdf>

- (D) Our organisation did not publicly disclose its Scope 1, Scope 2, or Scope 3 greenhouse gas emissions during the reporting year

SUSTAINABILITY OUTCOMES

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
-----------	-------------------	--------------	------------	------------	------------	---------------

PGS 47	CORE	N/A	Multiple indicators	PUBLIC	Sustainability outcomes	1, 2
--------	------	-----	---------------------	--------	-------------------------	------

Has your organisation identified the intended and unintended sustainability outcomes connected to its investment activities?

- ☒ **(A) Yes, we have identified one or more specific sustainability outcomes connected to our investment activities**
- (B) No, we have not yet identified the sustainability outcomes connected to any of our investment activities

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 47.1	CORE	PGS 47	N/A	PUBLIC	Sustainability outcomes	1, 2

Which widely recognised frameworks has your organisation used to identify the intended and unintended sustainability outcomes connected to its investment activities?

- ☐ (A) The UN Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs) and targets
- ☒ **(B) The UNFCCC Paris Agreement**
- ☒ **(C) The UN Guiding Principles on Business and Human Rights (UNGPs)**
- ☒ **(D) OECD frameworks: OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises and Guidance on Responsible Business Conduct for Institutional Investors**
- ☒ **(E) The EU Taxonomy**
- ☐ (F) Other relevant taxonomies
- ☒ **(G) The International Bill of Human Rights**
- ☒ **(H) The International Labour Organization's Declaration on Fundamental Principles and Rights at Work and the eight core conventions**
- ☐ (I) The Convention on Biological Diversity
- ☐ (J) Other international framework(s)
- ☐ (K) Other regional framework(s)
- ☐ (L) Other sectoral/issue-specific framework(s)
- ☐ (M) Our organisation did not use any widely recognised frameworks to identify the intended and unintended sustainability outcomes connected to its investment activities

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 47.2	CORE	PGS 47	PGS 48	PUBLIC	Sustainability outcomes	1, 2

What are the primary methods that your organisation has used to determine the most important intended and unintended sustainability outcomes connected to its investment activities?

- ☐ (A) Identify sustainability outcomes that are closely linked to our core investment activities
- ☐ (B) Consult with key clients and/or beneficiaries to align with their priorities
- ☐ (C) Assess which actual or potential negative outcomes for people are most severe based on their scale, scope, and irremediable character
- ☐ (D) Identify sustainability outcomes that are closely linked to systematic sustainability issues
- ☐ (E) Analyse the input from different stakeholders (e.g. affected communities, civil society, trade unions or similar)
- ☐ (F) Understand the geographical relevance of specific sustainability outcome objectives
- ☐ (G) Other method
- ☒ **(H) We have not yet determined the most important sustainability outcomes connected to our investment activities**

HUMAN RIGHTS

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 49	PLUS	PGS 47	PGS 49.1	PUBLIC	Human rights	1, 2

During the reporting year, what steps did your organisation take to identify and take action on the actual and potentially negative outcomes for people connected to your investment activities?

- ☐ (A) We assessed the human rights context of our potential and/or existing investments and projected how this could connect our organisation to negative human rights outcomes
- ☐ (B) We assessed whether individuals at risk or already affected might be at heightened risk of harm
- ☐ (C) We consulted with individuals and groups who were at risk or already affected, their representatives and/or other relevant stakeholders such as human rights experts
- ☐ (D) We took other steps to assess and manage the actual and potentially negative outcomes for people connected to our investment activities
- ☒ (E) We did not identify and take action on the actual and potentially negative outcomes for people connected to any of our investment activities during the reporting year

MANAGER SELECTION, APPOINTMENT AND MONITORING (SAM)

OVERALL APPROACH

EXTERNAL INVESTMENT MANAGERS

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
SAM 1	CORE	OO 21	N/A	PUBLIC	External investment managers	4

For the majority of your externally managed AUM in each asset class, which responsible investment aspects does your organisation consider important in the assessment of external investment managers?

	(1) Listed equity (active)	(3) Fixed income (active)
Organisation		
(A) Commitment to and experience in responsible investment	☐	☐
(B) Responsible investment policy(ies)	☒	☒
(C) Governance structure and senior-level oversight and accountability	☐	☐
People and Culture		
(D) Adequate resourcing and incentives	☐	☐
(E) Staff competencies and experience in responsible investment	☐	☐
Investment Process		
(F) Incorporation of material ESG factors in the investment process	☐	☐

(G) Incorporation of risks connected to systematic sustainability issues in the investment process	☐	☐
(H) Incorporation of material ESG factors and ESG risks connected to systematic sustainability issues in portfolio risk assessment	☐	☐
Stewardship		
(I) Policy(ies) or guidelines on stewardship	☐	☐
(J) Policy(ies) or guidelines on (proxy) voting	☐	☐
(K) Use of stewardship tools and activities	☐	☐
(L) Incorporation of risks connected to systematic sustainability issues in stewardship practices	☐	☐
(M) Involvement in collaborative engagement and stewardship initiatives	☐	☐
(N) Engagement with policy makers and other non-investee stakeholders	☐	☐
(O) Results of stewardship activities	☐	☐
Performance and Reporting		
(P) ESG disclosure in regular client reporting	☐	☐
(Q) Inclusion of ESG factors in contractual agreements	☐	☐
(R) We do not consider any of the above responsible investment aspects important in the assessment of external investment managers	☐	☐

SERVICE PROVIDERS

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
SAM 2	CORE	OO 21	N/A	PUBLIC	Service providers	4

Which responsible investment aspects does your organisation consider important when assessing all service providers that advise you in the selection, appointment and/or monitoring of external investment managers?

- ☐ (A) Incorporation of their responsible investment policy into advisory services
- ☐ (B) Ability to accommodate our responsible investment policy
- ☐ (C) Level of staff's responsible investment expertise
- ☐ (D) Use of data and analytical tools to assess the external investment manager's responsible investment performance
- ☐ (E) Other
- ☐ (F) We do not consider any of the above responsible investment aspects important when assessing service providers that advise us in the selection, appointment and/or monitoring of external investment managers
- ☒ (G) Not applicable; we do not engage service providers in the selection, appointment or monitoring of external investment managers

SELECTION

RESPONSIBLE INVESTMENT PRACTICES

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
SAM 4	CORE	OO 12, OO 21	SAM 5, SAM 6, SAM 7	PUBLIC	Responsible investment practices	General

During the reporting year, did your organisation select new external investment managers or allocate new mandates to existing investment managers?

- ☐ (A) Yes, we selected external investment managers or allocated new mandates to existing investment managers during the reporting year
- ☒ (B) No, we did not select new external investment managers or allocate new mandates to existing investment managers during the reporting year
- ☐ (C) Not applicable; our organisation is in a captive relationship with external investment managers, which applies to 90% or more of our AUM

LISTED EQUITY (LE)

OVERALL APPROACH

MATERIALITY ANALYSIS

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
LE 1	CORE	OO 21	N/A	PUBLIC	Materiality analysis	1

Does your organisation have a formal investment process to identify and incorporate material ESG factors across your listed equity strategies?

(3) Active - fundamental

(A) Yes, our investment process incorporates material governance factors

(3) for a minority of our AUM

(B) Yes, our investment process incorporates material environmental and social factors

(3) for a minority of our AUM

(C) Yes, our investment process incorporates material ESG factors beyond our organisation's average investment holding period

(D) No, we do not have a formal process. Our investment professionals identify material ESG factors at their discretion

○

(E) No, we do not have a formal or informal process to identify and incorporate material ESG factors

○

MONITORING ESG TRENDS

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
LE 2	CORE	OO 21	N/A	PUBLIC	Monitoring ESG trends	1

Does your organisation have a formal process for monitoring and reviewing the implications of changing ESG trends across your listed equity strategies?

(3) Active - fundamental

(A) Yes, we have a formal process that includes scenario analyses

(B) Yes, we have a formal process, but it does not include scenario analyses

(3) for a minority of our AUM

(C) We do not have a formal process for our listed equity strategies; our investment professionals monitor how ESG trends vary over time at their discretion

○

(D) We do not monitor and review the implications of changing ESG trends on our listed equity strategies

○

(B) Yes, we have a formal process but it does not include scenario analysis - Specify: (Voluntary)

Portzamparc Gestion s'appuie sur la méthodologie de BNPP Asset Management qui intègre des critères extra-financiers et évolue avec la recherche des équipes du Sustainability Center.

PRE-INVESTMENT

ESG INCORPORATION IN RESEARCH

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
LE 3	CORE	OO 21	N/A	PUBLIC	ESG incorporation in research	1

How does your financial analysis and equity valuation or security rating process incorporate material ESG risks?

(2) Active - fundamental

(A) We incorporate material governance-related risks into our financial analysis and equity valuation or security rating process

(B) We incorporate material environmental and social risks into our financial analysis and equity valuation or security rating process

(C) We incorporate material environmental and social risks related to companies' supply chains into our financial analysis and equity valuation or security rating process

(D) We do not incorporate material ESG risks into our financial analysis, equity valuation or security rating processes



Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
LE 4	CORE	OO 21	N/A	PUBLIC	ESG incorporation in research	1

What information do you incorporate when you assess the ESG performance of companies in your financial analysis, benchmark selection and/or portfolio construction process?

(3) Active - fundamental

(A) We incorporate qualitative and/or quantitative information on current performance across a range of material ESG factors

(1) in all cases

(B) We incorporate qualitative and/or quantitative information on historical performance across a range of material ESG factors

(1) in all cases

(C) We incorporate qualitative and/or quantitative information on material ESG factors that may impact or influence future corporate revenues and/or profitability

(1) in all cases

(D) We incorporate qualitative and/or quantitative information enabling current, historical and/or future performance comparison within a selected peer group across a range of material ESG factors

(1) in all cases

(E) We do not incorporate qualitative or quantitative information on material ESG factors when assessing the ESG performance of companies in our financial analysis, equity investment or portfolio construction process

o

ESG INCORPORATION IN PORTFOLIO CONSTRUCTION

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
LE 5	PLUS	OO 21	N/A	PUBLIC	ESG incorporation in portfolio construction	1

Provide an example of how you incorporated ESG factors into your equity selection and research process during the reporting year.

La sélection des métriques et pondérations présentes dans le modèle de notation ESG se base sur les critères suivants :

Matérialité des critères ESG – Les indicateurs les plus importants au regard des analyses sectorielles de l'équipe de recherche ESG ont été sélectionnés. La méthodologie propriétaire développée par le Sustainability Center se base sur les standards SASB (Sustainability Accounting Standards Boards)¹ afin de pondérer les critères ESG en fonction des secteurs.

Mesurabilité – Le choix de l'utilisation de mesures de performance (numériques) a été fait pour l'évaluation ESG des entreprises. Il est néanmoins important de noter qu'il existe une quantité limitée de ces métriques, notamment concernant certains enjeux comme ceux liés à la chaîne d'approvisionnement ou au dialogue social par exemple.

Dans ces cas précis, nous utilisons des

mesures dites de « programmes » ou de « politiques » faisant référence aux actions mises en œuvre par les entreprises.

Disponibilité, qualité, biais et corrélation des données – Une analyse approfondie des données de nos fournisseurs a été effectuée pour évaluer la qualité, les biais potentiels et la corrélation des données sur chacun des émetteurs de notre univers d'investissement. Cela nous a permis de supprimer les duplications de données (au sein de notre « data model »), et de garantir des contributions adéquates à la présélection des métriques les plus pertinents pour chacun des secteurs.

Il découle de ces 3 critères une surpondération des métriques de performances et des métriques numériques au sein du modèle de notation ESG.

Ci-dessous, la définition des différentes métriques du modèle de notation ESG :

- Performance (pondération de 62%, dont 26% pour les incidents) : les mesures de performance sont des points de données numériques quantitatives ou des événements réels et des résultats mesurables. Cela comprend, par exemple, les émissions de GES, la consommation totale d'énergie, le turnover, le pourcentage d'employées féminines. Les controverses et les incidents constituent un sous-ensemble important de mesures de performance du modèle.

Ils reflètent des événements indésirables

réels survenus dans une entreprise et liés à des problèmes ESG spécifiques.

- Programmes (pondération de 26%) : ces indicateurs signalent l'existence d'un programme pour atteindre un objectif ou une cible. Cela peut être des programmes d'énergie renouvelable, de diversité des employés ou de surveillance de la chaîne d'approvisionnement. La qualité de ces programmes est généralement évaluée par le fournisseur de données et peut inclure un élément de subjectivité dans l'évaluation.

- Politiques (pondération de 12%) : Ces critères indiquent la présence d'une politique ou d'une pratique commerciale, comme les politiques de discrimination, la confidentialité des données ou les politiques de sécurité de la chaîne d'approvisionnement.

La plupart de ces divulgations ESG sont de nature qualitative. Il convient de noter que les fournisseurs de données actuels ajoutent parfois une analyse critique lors de l'évaluation de l'existence de ces politiques et les indicateurs peuvent être sujets à des ajustements.

Sur la base des éléments décrits ci-dessus, nous avons adopté un processus itératif pour sélectionner des critères et des pondérations appropriés pour chaque secteur. Les critères sont classifiés par thème.

En moyenne, nous utilisons 37 critères par secteur pour calculer le score ESG d'une entreprise. Pour des raisons de cohérence et de communication, les critères de chaque entreprise sont regroupés en 11 thèmes communs.

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
LE 6	CORE	OO 21	N/A	PUBLIC	ESG incorporation in portfolio construction	1

How do material ESG factors contribute to your stock selection, portfolio construction and/or benchmark selection process?

(3) Active - fundamental

(A) Material ESG factors contribute to the selection of individual assets and/or sector weightings within our portfolio construction and/or benchmark selection process

(2) for a majority of our AUM

(B) Material ESG factors contribute to the portfolio weighting of individual assets within our portfolio construction and/or benchmark selection process

(2) for a majority of our AUM

(C) Material ESG factors contribute to the country or region weighting of assets within our portfolio construction and/or benchmark selection process

(D) Other ways material ESG factors contribute to your portfolio construction and/or benchmark selection process

(2) for a majority of our AUM

(E) Our stock selection, portfolio construction or benchmark selection process does not include the incorporation of material ESG factors

o

(D) Other ways material ESG factors contribute to your portfolio construction and/or benchmark selection process - Specify:

We integrate ESG objectives at portfolio level, comparing it to our investment universe. The portfolio must demonstrate significantly superior ESG rating than its benchmark.

POST-INVESTMENT

ESG RISK MANAGEMENT

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
LE 9	CORE	OO 17.1 LE, OO 21	N/A	PUBLIC	ESG risk management	1

What compliance processes do you have in place to ensure that your listed equity assets subject to negative exclusionary screens meet the screening criteria?

- ☒ (A) We have internal compliance procedures that ensure all funds or portfolios that are subject to negative exclusionary screening have pre-trade checks
- ☐ (B) We have an external committee that oversees the screening implementation process for all funds or portfolios that are subject to negative exclusionary screening
- ☒ (C) We have an independent internal committee that oversees the screening implementation process for all funds or portfolios that are subject to negative exclusionary screening
- ☐ (D) We do not have compliance processes in place to ensure that we meet our stated negative exclusionary screens

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
LE 10	CORE	OO 21	N/A	PUBLIC	ESG risk management	1

For the majority of your listed equity assets, do you have a formal process to identify and incorporate material ESG risks and ESG incidents into your risk management process?

(2) Active - fundamental

(A) Yes, our formal process includes reviews of quantitative and/or qualitative information on material ESG risks and ESG incidents and their implications for individual listed equity holdings



(B) Yes, our formal process includes reviews of quantitative and/or qualitative information on material ESG risks and ESG incidents and their implications for other listed equity holdings exposed to similar risks and/or incidents



(C) Yes, our formal process includes reviews of quantitative and/or qualitative information on material ESG risks and ESG incidents and their implications for our stewardship activities



(D) Yes, our formal process includes ad hoc reviews of quantitative and/or qualitative information on severe ESG incidents



(E) We do not have a formal process to identify and incorporate material ESG risks and ESG incidents into our risk management process; our investment professionals identify and incorporate material ESG risks and ESG incidents at their discretion



(F) We do not have a formal process to identify and incorporate material ESG risks and ESG incidents into our risk management process



DISCLOSURE OF ESG SCREENS

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
LE 12	CORE	OO 17 LE, OO 21	N/A	PUBLIC	Disclosure of ESG screens	6

For all your listed equity assets subject to ESG screens, how do you ensure that clients understand ESG screens and their implications?

☒ (A) We share a list of ESG screens

☒ (B) We share any changes in ESG screens

☐ (C) We explain any implications of ESG screens, such as their deviation from a benchmark or impact on sector weightings

☐ (D) We do not share the above information for all our listed equity assets subject to ESG screens

CONFIDENCE-BUILDING MEASURES (CBM)

CONFIDENCE-BUILDING MEASURES

APPROACH TO CONFIDENCE-BUILDING MEASURES

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
CBM 1	CORE	N/A	Multiple indicators	PUBLIC	Approach to confidence-building measures	6

How did your organisation verify the information submitted in your PRI report this reporting year?

- ☐ (A) We conducted independent third-party assurance of selected processes and/or data related to the responsible investment processes reported in our PRI report, which resulted in a formal assurance conclusion
- ☐ (B) We conducted a third-party readiness review and are making changes to our internal controls or governance processes to be able to conduct independent third-party assurance next year
- ☐ (C) We conducted an internal audit of selected processes and/or data related to the responsible investment processes reported in our PRI report
- ☒ (D) Our board, trustees (or equivalent), senior executive-level staff (or equivalent), and/or investment committee (or equivalent) signed off on our PRI report
- ☐ (E) We conducted an external ESG audit of our holdings to verify that our funds comply with our responsible investment policy
- ☐ (F) We conducted an external ESG audit of our holdings as part of risk management, engagement identification or investment decision-making
- ☒ (G) Our responses in selected sections and/or the entirety of our PRI report were internally reviewed before submission to the PRI
- ☐ (H) We did not verify the information submitted in our PRI report this reporting year

INTERNAL REVIEW

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
CBM 6	CORE	CBM 1	N/A	PUBLIC	Internal review	6

Who in your organisation reviewed the responses submitted in your PRI report this year?

- ☐ (A) Board, trustees, or equivalent
- ☒ (B) Senior executive-level staff, investment committee, head of department, or equivalent
 - Sections of PRI report reviewed
 - ☐ (1) the entire report
 - ☒ (2) selected sections of the report
 - ☐ (C) None of the above internal roles reviewed selected sections or the entirety of the responses submitted in our PRI report this year